Headquarters U.S. Air Force

Integrity - Service - Excellence

Next Generation COCESS Contract VTC (13 Dec 00)

SMSgt Terry M. Day HQ AFCESA/CEOM 13 Dec 00





OVERVIEW

- View from the TOP (AF/ILEXO)
- **Team Members**
- **■** Background of COCESS
- IPT Goals and Responsibilities
- **Current Procurement Methods**
- MAJCOM Pros and Cons
- **VTC Wrap-Up**



VIEW FROM THE TOP

- AF/ILEXO (Lt Col Conner)
 - Development of COCESS SOW for future generation BCE Logistical Support
 - Full participation and cooperation of all members toward common goal



TEAM MEMBERS

- Chair
- Key Forum Representatives
- Key IPT Members
- Research IPT Members



TEAM MEMBERS

- Colonel Byers, AF/ILEX Chair
- Key Forum Representatives
- Colonel Richard Howell (HQ PACAF/CEO)
- Colonel Thomas Griffith (HQ AFMC/CEO)
- Mr. Paul Parker (HQ ACC/CEO)
- Colonel Lance Brendel (HQ AFCESA/CEO)



Team Members

- Key IPT Members
- Lt Col Michael Conner (HQ USAF/ILEXO)
- Mr. Daniel Schwarz (HQ USAF/ILEXO)
- Lt Col Bart Hedley (HQ AFCESA/CEOM)
- Maj James Andersen (SAF/AQC)
- SMSgt Terry Day (HQ AFCESA/CEOM)
- Mr. Richard Childers (HQ ACC/CEOO)
- SMSgt Nancy McHugh (HQ PACAF/CEO)
- MSgt George Kruse (HQ AMC/CEOG)



TEAM MEMBERS

- Research IPT Members
- MSgt Thomas McMahon (Elmendorf)
- Ms. Jo Smith (Offutt)
- Ms. Thea Ridder (Shaw)
- Mr. Tom Rodney (Mt.Home)
- Mr. Tim Yuen (Mt. Home)
- Mr. Robert Jenson (Whiteman)
- Mr. Terry Stoddart (Nellis)
- Ms. Yvonne Belland (Hickam)
- Ms. Nancy Svergko (Charleston)
- Mr. Clay Johnson (Dover)



TEAM MEMBERS

- Research IPT Members
- Mr. Jerry Gaskin (Fairchild)
- Mr. Eugene Hayes (Grand Forks)
- Ms. Deanna Lowe (McGuire)



GOALS OF IPT

- Review draft COCESS SOW posted on AFCESA web site
- Review SOO for SERVMART sent to research IPT members via e-mail
- Look at current procurement methods in use at bases
 - Determine Pros and Cons of current methods
 - Decide how methods could be improved
 - Take best of the best and merge them into single SOW



GOALS OF IPT

- Draft SOW for use at Jan 01 Forum
- Be available for further questions/research as necessary



ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- Research IPT Members
- Key IPT Members
- Key Forum Representatives



Research IPT Members

- Review Generic SOW to see how it could be modified to fit the needs of your operation
- Review SOO for SERVMART to see how it could be modified to fit the needs of your operation
- Determine pros and cons of current procurement tools that your unit uses
- Provide ideas/concepts for current procurement tool enhancements
- Develop and review draft SOW for future generation COCESS contract



Key IPT Members

- Use MAJCOM and base inputs to develop and review draft SOW
- Write and publish CBD announcement with draft SOW as attachment
- Determine which of the research IPT members should attend Atlanta forum



BACKGROUND OF COCESS

- Began in 1970 in the Strategic Air Command
- COCESS designed to support BCE through provision of quality supplies at competitive prices within reasonable period of time
- COCESS allows for guaranteed level of BCE supplies to be maintained on hand
- Contractor operates COCESS as an independent business organization under contract with base



Current Procurement Methods

- GOCESS
- COCESS
- IMPAC
- Prime Vendor
- SERVMART





- Utilize CEMAS menu driven subsystem of WIMS projected to be converted to ACES
- Manning consists of military and civil service employees
- Ordering function, stores and warehouses operated by government employees
- Requirements passed to base contracting restricted to those over \$2,500
- Requires line item accounting processes be employed





- CSLs and BOMs required to be built for all work orders
- CSLs required to be built for items stocked in store or shop stocks
- Critical dollars obligated up-front to stock items for immediate use
- Specific responsibilities are spelled out in AFPAM32-1004V4



GOCESS PROS

Allows for other procurement methods to be used (AFMC)



GOCESS CONS

- CEMAS software slow and outdated (PACAF)
- CEMAS software does not allow for two sources/options for purchase (PACAF)
- Training on software is limited to outdated CD-ROM (PACAF)
- Funds management training is limited to nonexistent, many managers don't understand roles/responsibilities (PACAF)





- Contract for supplies maintained within COCESS store managed by contractor
- QAE required to monitor contract to ensure contract compliance
- Manning of storage and issue location for store assets is contractor responsibility
- Critical operating dollars spent when items are required but cost may be greater
- Warehouses and military personnel still required to store work order assets





- Requires line item accounting processes
- CSLs and BOMs are required for materials
- Material Control and CE shops are required to use contractor for all supply requirements
- Different contracts written at base level allow for different amounts of NPI charges
- Local vendors may not be provided opportunity to provide support



COCESS PROS

- Overall support is adequate and emergency support is exceptional (ACC)
- Contract provides craft workers with needed materials for mission support (ACC)
- Potential to serve needs of customer based on geographical location limitations (ACC)
- Contractors become proficient over time (AFMC)
- Materials obtained in timely manner usually at competitive price (AFMC)
- Works within the limitations (PACAF)



COCESS CONS

- Does not allow for wide range of buying power (PACAF)
- Training on how system works is needed (PACAF)
- Lack of procurement choice available to units (AFMC)
- Process adds additional cost and increased response time (ACC)
- Wide variance in NPI fee (ACC)



COCESS CONS

- Prices paid are higher than local area retail (ACC)
- Contractor frequently uses sources other than those in local area (ACC)
- Requirement for bases to order CE items through COCESS contractor
- Creates additional workload for Material Acquisition personnel (ACC)



IMPAC

- Allows freedom to buy what you need when you need it provided restrictions (i.e., HAZMAT, photocopy, minor construction, etc.) are satisfied
- **■** Frees up warehouse space
- Unless restricted to logistics personnel only, allows craft workers to make purchases-blessing and curse



IMPAC

- Purchases not entered in WIMS creates situation where reimbursable expenses are not accounted for and line item accounting is not performed - problem for earning O&M
- Capable of cutting logistics pipeline time significantly since local sources are used
- Requires same level of manning as GOCESS



IMPAC PROS

- Materials can be purchased at a much better price (ACC)
- Item can be purchased from Grainger or other suppliers on GSA contract much cheaper than with other procurement methods (ACC)
- Materials purchased are of better quality (ACC)
- Materials are received much faster (ACC)
- Best way to buy materials but need contracting buyers to perform task (ACC)



IMPAC PROS

- Provides capability to order materials "just-in-time" (ACC)
- Frees up warehouse space (ACC)
- Lead time has been reduced from over 120 days to less than 15 (ACC)
- Saves dollars spent on surcharges for Prime Vendor (ACC)
- Provides for needed flexibility (PACAF)
- Allows units the ability to get materials in a "just-in-time" manner (AFMC)



IMPAC CONS

- Added workload incurred by CE Material Control (ACC)
- Contracting no longer procuring items below \$2,500 threshold (ACC)
- Some bases have moved craft workers from shops to fill in as buyers (ACC)
- IMPAC statement reconciliation creates additional workload (ACC)
- IMPAC dollar threshold restriction not adequate to support requirements (ACC)



IMPAC CONS

- Program can be extremely manpower intensive (ACC)
- Limitations on dollar amount (\$2,500) if pre-priced agreements or contracts are not available (PACAF)
- Shops do not always process IMPAC purchases for work/job orders through IWIMS/CEMAS (PACAF)
- More stringent controls on control and accountability of card use need to be established (PACAF)



IMPAC CONS

Documentation required to use program is extensive (AFMC)



PRIME VENDOR

- Contract managed by DLA does not require QAE position to monitor
- BCE can order what he needs when he needs it and have it delivered either incrementally or entire work order at same time
- Reduces need to man warehouses/stores
- Uses MILSTRIP format to allow Material Control to easily order parts
- Offers expedient method of procurement with least amount of red tape



PRIME VENDOR

- Units can order any amount of an item desired without restriction
- Local vendors can be used to satisfy requirement
- Surcharge charged for obtaining materials



PRIME VENDOR PROS

- PV is much quicker than conventional contracting (PACAF)
- PV allows return of excess material (PACAF)
- PV is not limited to \$2,500 threshold (PACAF)
- PV provides a wider range of buying power (PACAF)
- PV does not require us to accept substitutes (PACAF)



PRIME VENDOR PROS

- PV gives units flexibility to make major purchases without going through competitive sourcing (AFMC)
- Units are not required to use PV if dissatisfied with specific supplier (AFMC)



PRIME VENDOR CONS

- Very expensive with items costing 10 to 30 percent more (ACC)
- Takes too long (1 to 2 weeks) to get request for quote from contractor (ACC)
- System not in place to track and tie items received to DLA billing process (ACC)
- Manual validation of billing process could result in being billed for items not received (ACC)
- Units could be charged twice for same item (ACC)



PRIME VENDOR CONS

- Units could pay incorrect price for items received (ACC)
- Incompatibility of computer software (PACAF)
- Prime Vendor training needs to be provided (PACAF)



PRIME VENDOR PROS

- Allows for purchases costing over the IMPAC threshold and not on GSA contract (ACC)
- Experience with PV contractor has been good
- Contractor works with us, getting the material we need in a timely manner (ACC)
- Buying through PV is quick and easy (ACC)
- Eliminates hassle of going through contracting to buy items costing over \$2,500 threshold (ACC)



SERVMART

- Allows contractor to provide all BCE type materials at lowest cost (not yet proven)
- Allows government employees to use other sources of supply
- Contractor responsible for manning store
- Cuts number of government employees required to maintain materials
- Performance-based BPA vendor either performs to contract specifications and customer satisfaction or loses contract



SERVMART

- Vendor catalogs can be used to obtain materials
- Allows greater flexibility on dollar amount of items to be procured
- QAE still required to ensure contractor performs within contract specifications



Key Forum Representatives

- Attend Industry Day forum in Atlanta
- Complete SOW based on IPT member discussion/input during VTCs and information gleaned from contractors during Atlanta Forum Day briefs
- Coordinate and approve final SOW



VTC Wrap-Up

- Review Timeline of Action Items
 - Draft SOW NLT 4 Jan 01
 - Publish CBD NLT 12 Jan 01
 - **■** Complete action items for Forum NLT 15 Jan
 - Future VTCs Planned and being scheduled
 - 10 Jan 01 time is TBD and announced via e-mail one last opportunity to add anything of value to draft SOW